# Musculoskeletal Radiology





Hong Kong College of Radiologists



#### 1 Plain radiograph

- **1.1** Regional radiographs should be the initial examination to determine whether there is any underlying pathological condition.
- 1.2 Typical findings of bone destruction and periosteal reaction may not appear until 10-21 days after the onset of infection because 30-50% of bone density loss must occur before radiographs become abnormal.
- 1.3 Plain radiographs are unreliable to establish the diagnosis of osteomyelitis in patients with violated bone.
- 1.4 Plain radiographs of spine are not sensitive to detect vertebral osteomyelitis but findings of endplate destruction and progressive narrowing of adjacent disc space are highly suggestive of infection.

#### 2 Nuclear medicine

- 2.1 Scans should be interpreted with contemporary radiographs.
- 2.2 Three-phase Technetium-99m methylene diphosphonate (Tc-99m-MDP) bone scan
  - 2.2.1 Bone scan is more sensitive than plain radiography (up to 90% sensitivity).
  - 2.2.2 Bone scan can be positive as early as 3 days after onset of disease (10-14 days earlier than plain radiograph).
- 2.3 Gallium scan
  - 2.3.1 Gallium scan is helpful as conjunction with a bone scan. Combined gallium and bone scan studies has sensitivity of 81-90% and specificity of 69-100%
- 2.4 White blood cells (WBC) scan
  - 2.4.1 This is sensitive and specific for bone infection and particularly useful in violated bone.
- 2.5 Flurodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET
  - 2.5.1 It has high accuracy (up to 96%) for confirming or excluding chronic osteomyelitis.
  - 2.5.2 It may be an alternative to MRI if suspecting chronic osteomyelitis.

#### 3 CT

- 3.1 CT is useful to accurately define sequestra, soft tissue abscesses and bone destruction, and to guide biopsy.
- 3.2 Sequestra, cortical destruction, periosteal reaction and intraosseous gas undetected on MRI can be well seen on CT.

#### 4 MRI

- 4.1 MRI is highly effective for detection of bone marrow edema in spine and long bones.
- 4.2 MRI can reveal the relationship between an infective process in spine, the adjacent spinal canal and soft tissue.
- 4.3 Contrast MRI is sensitive but should be correlated with other imaging studies.

- The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer: Making the best use of clinical radiology. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Section M07.
- Beaman FD, Von Herrmann PF, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Suspected Osteomyelitis, Septic Arthritis, or Soft Tissue Infection (Excluding Spine and Diabetic Foot). Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094201/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 May 2.
- Termaat MF, Raijmakers PG, Scholten HJ, Bakker FC, Patka P, Haarman HJ. The accuracy of diagnostic imaging for the assessment of chronic osteomyelitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2005; 87: 2464-2471.
- 4. Sella EJ. Current concepts review: diagnostic imaging of the diabetic foot. Foot Ankle Int. 2009; 30: 568-576.
- Schauwecker DS. The scintigraphic diagnosis of osteomyelitis. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1992; 158: 9-18.
   Madia M T. Esiglia DH, Pirzina DW, Roumpherry F. Weinstein MA, Duckerson DM, et al. Viet 1993.
- Modic M T, Feiglin DH, Piraino DW, Boumphrey F, Weinstein MA, Duchesneau PM, et al. Vertebral osteomyelitis: assessment using MR. Radiology. 1985; 157: 157-166.
   James E, Burgenba L (Shitta Charitan PE, Database KL, et al. DANK(OND GL, et al. 1997).
- Jamar F, Buscombe J, Chiti A, Christian PE, Delbeke D, Donohoe KJ, et al. EANM/SNMMI guideline for 18F-FDG use in inflammation and infection. J Nucl Med. 2013; 54: 647-658.



## 1 General

1.1 Pain referred to shoulder should always be borne in mind in evaluating shoulder pain. Imaging examinations should be tailored to this regard.

## 2 Plain radiograph

- 2.1 Plain radiographs are useful for excluding skeletal abnormalities and calcific tendinitis.
- 2.2 Depending on site and type of lesion, additional special projections may be required.

### 3 US

- 3.1 It is operator-dependent and expertise is required for diagnosing tendinosis, partial or complete tear in cases of rotator cuff injury due to irritation or overuse of those tendons.
- 3.2 It is also useful for US guided aspiration and injection.
- 3.3 Bone changes or labral lesions cannot be detected.

## 4 MRI

- 4.1 Conventional MRI
  - 4.1.1 MRI is accurate in evaluating rotator cuff pathology.
  - 4.1.2 It also aids in detecting other soft tissue or osseous abnormality.
- 4.2 MR arthrography
  - 4.2.1 Direct arthrography technique has the benefits of intraarticular distention by contrast with excellent anatomical details of glenoid labrum and biceps anchoring site.

## 5 CT arthrography

5.1 It may be considered if the patient is contraindicated for MRI arthrography.

- The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer: Making the best use of clinical radiology. 7<sup>th</sup> ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Sections M18-M19.
- Wise JN, Daffner RH, Weissman BN, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Acute Shoulder Pain. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69433/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 April 6.
- 3. Resnick D et al. Internal derangements of Joints. 2nd edition. Saunders Elsevier; 2007. p. 739-747.



#### 1 Plain radiograph

- **1.1** Plain radiographs should be the initial imaging examination.
- 1.2 It is useful for staging the disorder from patchy sclerosis and subchondral lucency to collapse of the articular surface, dense bone sclerosis and fragmentation, degenerative changes.

#### 2 Nuclear medicine

2.1 It is highly sensitive in detecting avascular necrosis with further improvement of its accuracy by the addition of single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT).

#### 3 CT

- 3.1 CT detects avascular necrosis of hip earlier than plain radiographs but it is less sensitive than both MRI and bone scan.
- 3.2 Its major role is to determine the severity of secondary degenerative changes and the extent of femoral head collapse.

#### 4 MRI

- 4.1 MRI is the preferred method for detection of early occult avascular necrosis. It is also useful for disease staging.
- 4.2 It detects avascular necrosis in the contralateral hip.
- 4.3 It also shows other possible causes of hip pain.

- 1. The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer: Making the best use ofclinical radiology. 7th ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Section M21.
- Murphey MD, Roberts CC, Bencardino JT, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Osteonecrosis of the Hip. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69420/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 May 11.
- Mitchell DG, Rao VM, Dalinka MK, Spritzer CE, Alavi A, Steinberg ME, et al. Femoral head avascular necrosis: correlation of MR imaging, radiographic staging, radionuclide imaging, and clinical findings. Radiology. 1987; 162: 709-715.
- Murphy MD, Foreman KL, Klassen-Fischer MK, Fox MG, Chung EM, Kransdorf MF. From the Radiologic Pathology Archives Imaging of Osteonecrosis: Radiologic-Pathologic Correlation. Radiographics. 2014; 34: 1003-1028.



#### 1 Plain radiograph

- **1.1** Regional radiographs are necessary for suspected bone tumour and remain the initial technique for the detection and characterization of tumours and tumour-like lesions.
- **1.2** For typical benign lesions, no further imaging is required unless there is a suspected complication or surgery is being considered.

### 2 Nuclear medicine

- 2.1 Bone scan is helpful when bony metastasis is suspected.
- 2.2 Baseline bone scan can exclude multicentricity.

#### 3 CT

- 3.1 CT is the preferred method for assessment of cortical involvement, cortically-based tumours, flat bones with little marrow, and demonstration of tumour mineralization or calcification. It is complementary to MRI in this regard.
- 3.2 CT is indicated for confirmation and pre-surgical localization of osteoid osteoma following positive radiograph or bone scan.

#### 4 MRI

4.1 MRI is the imaging modality of choice for assessment of bone marrow, soft tissue, juxta-articular and neurovascular involvement (i.e. local staging).

#### 5 PET/CT

- 5.1 PET/CT has higher sensitivity and specificity than CT, MRI and bone scan for detecting distant metastases (except being less sensitive to pulmonary nodules).
- 5.2 It also has high sensitivity (90%), specificity (96%) and accuracy (95%) for differentiating primary bone tumour from osseous metastases.

#### 6 Pathological diagnosis

- 6.1 Staging of the primary tumour should be completed first before any biopsy.
- 6.2 Biopsy should be carried out in close consultation with the orthopaedic surgeon planning the definitive treatment.

- 1. The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer: Making the best use of clinical radiology. 7th ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Section M08.
- Morrison WB, Weissman BN, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Primary Bone Tumors. Available at https:// acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 May 4.
- Resnick D. Tumors and tumor-like lesions of bone: radiographic principles. Diagnosis of bone and joint disorders. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders, 2002: 3745-3762.
- Von Kalle T, Langendorfer M, Fernandez FF, Winkler P. Combined dynamic contrast-enhancement and serial 3D-subtraction analysis in magnetic resonance imaging of osteoid osteomas. Eur Radiol. 2009; 19: 2508-2517.
- Franzius C, Sciuk J, Daldrup-Link HE, Jurgens H, Schober O. FDG-PET for detection of osseous metastases from malignant primary bone tumours: comparison with bone scintigraphy. Eur J Nucl Med. 2000; 27: 1305-1311.
- 6. Costelloe CM, Chuang HH, Madewell JE. FDG PET/CT of primary bone tumours. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2014; 202: W521-W531.
- London K, Stege C, Cross S, Onikul E, Graf N, Kaspers G, et al. 18F-FDG PET/ CT compared to conventional imaging modalities in pediatric primary bone tumours. Pediatr Radiol. 2012; 42: 418-430.
- Stauss J, Hahn K, Mann M, De Palma D. Guidelines for paediatric bone scanning with 99mTc-labelled radiopharmaceuticals and 18F-fluoride. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2010; 37: 1621-1628.



^Remarks: Use angiography for subsequent treatment after the non-invasive diagnosis and mapping

#### 1 Plain radiograph

- **1.1** Regional radiograph should be the initial imaging examination in evaluating soft tissue tumour, especially deep and nonpalpable one.
- 1.2 Radiograph helps to identify any underlying skeletal deformity (e.g. callus), exostosis (which simulates a soft tissue mass), coexisting osseous involvement (remodeling, periosteal reaction or overt destruction) and soft tissue calcification (e.g. phlebolith in haemangioma).
- 1.3 Low kV technique is preferred to enhance radiographic density of differences between soft tissue such as fat and muscle.

#### 2 US

- 2.1 US is useful for superficial mass.
- 2.2 It can differentiate a localized mass from diffuse edema and solid from cystic lesion.
- 2.3 The role of US is to confirm the presence of a suspected lesion, identify its size, determine its internal characteristics, and guide percutaneous biopsy.

#### 3 CT

- 3.1 CT is complementary to MRI in detecting soft tissue calcification or ossification and subtle bony abnormality.
- 3.2 Zonal pattern of mineralization of early myositis ossificans can be seen on CT allowing early diagnosis.

### 4 MRI

- 4.1 MRI is the examination of choice in imaging soft tissue lesion.
- 4.2 It is also useful in post-treatment follow-up.
- 4.3 It can be difficult to differentiate benignancy from malignancy with imaging alone.

#### **5 PET**

- 5.1 PET is useful for staging and monitoring treatment response of the tumour.
- 5.2 It identifies nodal and osseous metastatic disease.
- 5.3 It may direct biopsy of those metabolically active areas in the tumour.

- 1. The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer: Making the best use of clinical radiology. 7th ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Section M10.
- Zoga AC, Weissman BN, Kransdorf MJ, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Soft-Tissue Masses. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69434/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 May 4.
- 3. Kransdorf MJ, Murphey MD. Imaging of soft tissue tumors. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: W.B.Saunders; 2014. p. 39-94.
- Wu JS, Hochman MG. Soft tissue tumors and tumorlike lesions: a systemic imaging approach. Radiology. 2009; 53: 297-316.

## MS 6 Screening for bone metastasis with known primary malignancy



#### 1 Plain radiograph

- 1.1 Plain radiograph should be taken selectively corresponding to scintigraphically positive osseous region.
- 1.2 Skeletal survey should only be performed in Langerhans cell histiocytosis, plasmacytoma and multiple myeloma.

## 2 Nuclear medicine

- 2.1 Bone scan is a sensitive, cheap and widely available imaging modality for detection of skeletal metastasis.
- 2.2 False negative bone scan results may occur in cases of Langerhans cell histiocytosis, plasmacytoma, multiple myeloma and renal cell carcinoma.
- 2.3 PET/CT is valuable in evaluating multiple myeloma and Langerhans cell histiocytosis.

#### 3 CT

3.1 CT is useful in defining the degree of bone destruction and therefore should only be used in specific situations.

#### 4 MRI

4.1 MRI is useful in specific situations such as marrow based lesions.

- 1. The Royal College of Radiologists. iRefer. Making the best use of clinical radiology. 7th ed. London: The Royal College of Radiologists; 2012. Section M09.
- Roberts CC, Weissman BN, Appel M, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria
   Metastatic Bone Disease. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69431/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Accessed 2017 May 10.
- Taira AV, Herfkens RJ, Gambhir SS, Quon A. Detection of bone metastases: Assessment of integrated FDG PET/ CT imaging. Radiology. 2007; 243: 204–211.
- Agarwal A, Chirindel A, Shah BA, Subramaniam RM. Evolving role of FDG PET/CT in multiple myeloma imaging and management. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2013; 200: 884-890.